Broadband Needs, Challenges, and Opportunities in Rural America

Presented to the Rural Broadband Workshop Federal Communications Commission March 19, 2014 Washington, DC

> Charles W. Fluharty President & CEO Rural Policy Research Institute



Rural and Urban Definitions

- No definition adequately captures rural and urban population dynamics
 - Official Census Bureau definition of urban includes places from 2,500 to several million
 - OMB Core Based Statistical Areas include some very rural counties in metro areas, because of commuting patterns
- Neither categorical definition captures the continuum.



Urban and Rural Areas

- The U.S. Census Bureau defines urban areas:
 - Core blocks and block groups with population density of 1,000 people per square mile.
 - Surrounding blocks with overall density of 500 ppmi²
 - Range in size from 2,500 people to over 18 million people.
 - **Rural** is everything that is not urban.
- Based on the 2010 Decennial Census:
 - 59 million people live in rural areas (19%)
 - 249 million people live in urban areas (81%)



Urban is very diverse, however:





New York-Newark Population 18 million Bellevue, IA Population 2,543



Core Based Statistical Areas

- Defined by the Office of Management and Budget.
- Designed as **functional regions** around urban centers.
- County classification:
 - Metropolitan
 - Nonmetropolitan counties are divided into two types:
 - Micropolitan
 - Noncore





Usually, metropolitan is equated with urban and nonmetropolitan with rural.

But, if metropolitan is urban, then...



This is urban:



Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA Metro Area Population 12.8 million

And so is this:

Armstrong County, Texas Population 1,901

Part of the Amarillo Texas Metropolitan Area





And if nonmetropolitan is rural, then...



This is rural:



Loving County, Texas Population 82



But so is this:



Paducah, Kentucky Population 48,791



Most Counties are Urban and Rural!





Coconino County, Arizona Population 134,421 Flagstaff Metro Area



In fact...

Over half of all rural people live in metropolitan counties!



Population Dynamics, 2010

Percent of U.S. Population by CBSA and Rural/Urban Status, 2010						
	Urbanized Area	Urban Cluster	Rural	Total		
Metropolitan	99.9%	36.7%	53.8%	85.0%		
Micropolitan	0.1%	47.2%	22.0%	8.8%		
Noncore	0.0%	16.1%	24.2%	6.2%		
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
53.8 % of the rural population is in Metropolitan Areas						
Sources: U.S. Censu						



Changes in Population Dynamics

<i>U.S</i> .	Metro Central 2013	Micro Central 2013	Outlying or Noncore 2013	
Metro Central 2009	658	4	10	58 counties became relatively less urban
Micro Central 2009	34	511	44	
Outlying or Noncore 2009	37	34	1811	

105 counties became relatively more urban



Rural Americans Are Older





• The median age in noncore counties is over 40.

 In noncore counties, 18% of the population is over 65, compared to 13% in metropolitan counties.



Rural Americans Are Less Educated





Therefore, Non-Metropolitan Workers Are Far Less Likely to Work in Technical and Management Occupations





So, Rural Americans Earn Less





Farming's Importance to Rural Employment Is Declining





But the Broader Rural Economy Is Now Very Important to Farm Household Income



Source: ERS Agricultural Resource Management Survey, 2012

Rural Americans Face Challenges in Health Care



Micropolitan

Noncore

2

0

Metropolitan

In noncore counties, nearly
18% of the population has a disability, compared to only
11% of the metro population.

 A higher percentage of the noncore population lacks health insurance.



Rural Health Care Challenges

- Rural America contains 20 percent of the population, but only 10 percent of physicians.
- Rural residents have greater transportation difficulties reaching health care providers, often travelling great distances to reach a doctor or hospital.
- Two-thirds of deaths due to vehicular accidents occur in rural areas.
- The suicide rate among rural men is significantly higher than in urban areas.
- Alcohol abuse and smokeless tobacco use is a significant problem among rural youth.



Rural Americans Are Poorer





Rural Children Are Far More Likely to Live In Poverty

Rural child poverty has increased the most since 2008



Note: Rural and urban are defined by metropolitan area definitions. Source: USDA, Economic Research Serivce using Current Population Survey Data.



Deep poverty* for children, 2008-2012



*Deep poverty is defined by income below 50 percent of the Federal poverty level. Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using data from the U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-yr estimates, 2008-2012.





Poor Rural Americans Face Multiple Challenges The Geography of Need

- An examination of human services needs across the country (8 demographic and 4 economic needs indicators)
- Nearly one-third of noncore counties experience three or more risk factors, compared to only 9% of metro counties
- 10% of noncore counties experience five or more risk factors, compared to only 2 percent of metro counties.

Demographic and Economic Indicators

- Percent of population age 65 and over
- Percent of population that are racial or ethnic minorities
- Percent of the population living in subfamilies
- Ratio of the working age population to the non working age population
- High fertility areas
- Veterans as a percent of total population
- Areas with low educational attainment
- Percent of population that is foreign born
- Percent of population in poverty
- Percent of households without a vehicle
- Percent of households receiving SNAP benefits
- Percent of county income from transfer payments



Combined Risk Factor Index



Counties with Three or More Risk Factors (Combined Index), by CBSA Status







GROWTH POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS PEGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS PEGIONS CATCHING PEGIONS CATCHING PEGIONS CATCHING PEGIONS CATCHING PEGIONS CAT INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES ORDITAL CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CRONATH CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CROWTH POLICIES CROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CROWTH POLICIES CROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES OF A CONTRACT OF A CON POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS PEO GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP BEGIONS INSTITUTIONS REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES ABOUNTH CATCHING-UP BEGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CARONITH CATCHING-UP BEGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES CARONITH CATCHING-UP BEGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES ABOUNTH CATCHING ABOUNT ABOUNTH ABOUNTH ABOUNT ABOUN GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCH GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GRO POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES INSTITU GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH POLICES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES ORIGINAL ATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GR TUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING IN GROWTH PO INSTITUTIONS FULICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CA S REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP DECICINE INST CHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES OR OWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS REGIONS POLICIES OR OWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS REGIONS POLICIES OR OWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES OR OWTH POLICIES OR OWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES OR OWTH POLICIES

GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTIT

POLICIES INSTITUTION CATCHING-UP REGION

NSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHIN

GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES (REGIONS CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES INSTITUT GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS INSTITUTIONS INSTITUTIONS REGIONS I POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS POL CAICHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CAICHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CAT INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING POLICIES INST INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS CATCHING-UP REGIONS POLICIES GROWTH CATCHING-UP REGIONS INSTITUTIONS POLICIES INSTITUTIONS PO

REGIONS CATCHING-UP GROWTH POLICIES INSTITUTIONS REGIONS CATCHING-UP

Promoting Growth in All Regions

The Framework for Regional Rural Innovation



Critical Internal Considerations

- Wealth Creation and Intergenerational Wealth Retention
- Youth Engagement and Retention
- Social Inclusion and Social Equity





Charles W. Fluharty

cfluharty@rupri.org

President and CEO Rural Policy Research Institute 214 Middlebush Hall University of Missouri Columbia, MO 65211 (573) 882-0316 <u>http://www.rupri.org/</u>